

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/682,624	09/682,624 09/28/2001		Joan Clayton	1284-001	2281
4678	7590	06/01/2005		EXAM	INER
MACCORI			BROWN, M	IICHAEL A	
P. O. BOX 2		EET, SUITE 1600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GREENSBO	RO, NC	27402	3764		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(v)	
<del>-</del>	Application No.	Applicant(s) CLAYTON, JOAN	
	09/682,624		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Brown	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON stute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3-	<u>-11-05</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
1.0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing	y(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	<b></b>		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: \_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 6, 9-11 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell in view of Russo.

Campbell discloses in figures 1-2 an abdominal tube band, substantially as claimed. However, the issues is whether the opening of the pocket is away from the patient's skin. Russo teaches in figure 1 an abdominal tube band comprising a pocket 10 that opens away from the patient's skin. The pocket can be located on other places beside the stoma (fig. 8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the pocket disclosed by Campbell could be fabricated to open away from the skin of the user as taught by Russo to prevent any fluids from reaching the patient's skin. Campbell discloses a tube having two ends.

Claims 3-5, 7-8 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Helmer.

Helmer teaches in figures 1-2 an abdominal tube band comprising a fabric band 20 that is made of a breathable material (cotton), cotton, canvas or knitted (col. 11, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/682,624 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

45-50). The pocket is made of a waterproof, water resistant material (col. 11, lines 64-66), ties (col. 11, lines 59-61) that can be used to hold the rectangular fabric around the user's waist. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the fabric band disclosed by Campbell and taught by Russo could be fabricated of a breathable material to allow air and sweat to pass through the fabric. The cotton, canvas and knitted material are durable and comfortable to the wearer. The pocket being waterproof would prevent fluids from reaching the medical tubing inside of the pocket. The ties could be substituted for the hook and loop fasteners. The coating inside of the pocket would prevent fluids from passing through the pocket. The polyethylene is a specific plastic that would prevent fluids from passing through the pocket. The decoration or printed material could be used to enhance or display logos or words on the band. The plastic material of the pocket would provide a liner that is synthetic.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 11, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art doesn't disclose a tube that moves inside of the pocket. However, whether the tube moves is a function of the tube that doesn't provide any novelty over the prior art. Clearly, the tube must have two ends in order to allow fluids to flow into and out of the tube.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/682,624 Page 4

Art Unit: 3764

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 703-308-2682. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,624

Art Unit: 3764

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Brown May 24, 2005

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael 4.6~